## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

**OFFICIAL** 

OCT 0 4 2004

#### CARDINAL LAW GROUP

1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000 Evanston, Illinois 60201 Telephone 847 – 905 - 7111 Facsimile 847 – 905 – 7113

Date:

**OCTOBER 4, 2004** 

To:

EXAMINER BARANYAI, L.

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Fax #:

(703) 872-9315

From:

FRANK C. NICHOLAS

Phone #:

(847) 424-2521

Client/Matter No.:

GB 000003 (7790/336)

# of Pages.

99

(including cover sheet)

IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE, PLEASE CALL <u>847/905-7111, Ext. 112</u> AND ASK FOR JENNIFER CRUZ.

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IT MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT, OR TRADE SECRET INFORMATION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPENT. YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE IAND ALL COPIES) TO US BY MAIL AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR POSTAGE.

	wood at the same of the same o	LUG CHAR OSSI-DOSI. PAR PHIRA DI ESCONO IO A COM-	ich and Trademan union	S il dividire à 4:100 Dans course incernant	
<del>.</del>	Unided the Factorian N.C.	GB 000003	(856,10622)		
	Attorney Docket No.	09/631,35	3		
TRANSMITTAL	Application Number	AUGUST	2, 2000		1
FORM	Filing Date	TIMOTH	Y J MOULSI	EY	1
, 0,	First Named Inventor	2665			1
(to be used for all correspondence after initial limit)?	Group Art Unit	BARAN'	YAI, LAWRE	NCE	<b>-</b>
(ID the Co	Examiner			n Board of	7
	ENCLOSURES (CHBCk all that appl		Appeal Con	a functionation in Board of	1
	Assignment Papers (for an Application)	1			
Amendment	D- T-WOODS		Appeal Br	ief (Triplicate) uce, Brief, Reply Brief)	1
Atter Final	Alter Allowance Communication		(1.42-1.		1
	l Croup		Propriéta	iry Information	1
Artidavits/declaration(8)	Petition Routing Stip (PTO/SB/69 Accompanying Petition	a) 200			<b>\</b>
			1	rd Receipt	1
Spatus Letter	Provisional Application	Change	Addition	nal Enclosure(s)	
	Power of Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence Address	1			\
Petition for Extension of Time Request (duplic)	Tamori Disdaimer	\ <u>[</u>	]		
Expluss Apandonment Request	<del>     </del>	· [1	<b> \</b>		
intormation Disclosure Statement.	Small Entity Statement				1
PTO-1449, art  Cerulied Copy of Priority Document(	Request of Retund			ov fees which may be	-1 (2719)
	- Commissionel is ite	eby authorized eroayment, to	Debosit yec	ount No 50-1713. A ou	iplicato
Response to Missing Pansi	required this enget is engl	<u>osea</u>		riension of time require	a to
Incomplete Application	required.  copy of this sheet is encured in nereby petition under 3 ensure that this paper is nave not otherwise bear nave not otherwise bear nave not otherwise bear nave not otherwise bear to enclose	timely filed F	Please charg	No. 50-1713. A duplicat	E CODY
	nave not otherwise been of this sheet is enclosed	1		<del></del>	
	CALCULATION OF FE	c	Entry	Laige Ent	
			Addl		Adail Fee
A LOCK	Highest No Prescrit Praviously Exits	RJB	Fec	0 ×518=	
Clains Alter	0	× \$5	<del></del>	0 . 388	
Total Minu⇒	8	-51			<u></u>
ingap Minus		ाठाञ्च ३ <u>००</u> १	[col	0 total acci fee	
Fusi Presentation of Multiple Dep Claim	SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT. AT	TORNEY, OR	AGENT		
EDANK C	NICHOLAS				
l Firm   Decistration	U MO 30/225 W				
or CARDINAL 1603 OFFICE	gion Averiue, Suite 2000			October 4, 2004	
1603 Ormi Evanston	120/1		Date		
Signature / /	CERTIFICATE OF	FACSIMILE	0315	October	4 2004
I nereby certify that this correspond to the United States Patent and T	mined via facsimi	ie iρ (703) 872		Déropei	
The state of the correspon	Office on this date		1	te: October 4 2004	

PTOGRAM (14 W) Approach servicings dischous una vicination machini Tudonius unice ula Denis traci de Commenço

TRANSMITTAL FORM				Allorney Dock	Allorney Docket No GB 000003 (7790/336)								
				Application Number		09/631,353							
				Filing Date			AUGUST 2, 2000						
				First Named Inventor			TIMOTHY I MOULSLEY						
	(to ou used for :	ж вэнавроновног ы	िन गावनी ।	hing)		Group Art Unit			2665				
		<del></del>	** **			Examiner	Examine; BARANYAI, LAWRENCE						
				E	NCLO	SURES (check	ali	that apply)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Amenament					signment Papers an Application)						ommunication to	
	Atter	Finai			Dra	พเกgร.		Annal Rest Test seed					
	☐ Allıda	vits/declaration(s	:)		Alter Allowance Communication to Group			Appeal Brief (Triplicate) (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)					
						uon Rouung Slip (I ompanying Petitioi		D/SB/69) and		Proprietary Information			
	Status Letter					Convert a visional Application	1			Post Card Receipt			
	Petition for Ex Request (dup:	tension of Time .c)				er of Attomey, Re- crespondence Ad						l Enclosure(s) lentily below):	
	Express Aban	donment Reques	it .		Terr	nınal Disciaimer							
	Information Disclosure Statement, Sma				ill Entity Statemen	ı							
	Centrea Capy of Priority Document(5)				Request of Refund								
☐ Incombine Application ☐☐ I Let				requ	Commissioner is ired, or credit and of this sheet is	ly C	verpayment.	zed to ci to Depo	arge sit Ac	any te	es which may No. <u>50-1713</u> .	pe A duplicate	
⊠ ¦e				I hereby petition under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) for any extension of time required to ensure that this paper is limely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. 50-1713. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.									
	-					CALCULATION OF	· · · · · ·	E					
	Claims After		Highe:			D		Sinal	I Епцу			Large	
	Amenamon		Previo Paia F	OI .		Present Earls		Rata	Foe		ar	Rate	Adgii Fee
Тога		Minus	ļ .		-	0		. \$8.		٥		A \$18=	
ілаер		William				0		<u>. \$4</u>	T	O.		± 868	
First Fie.	=entation of Multipli	BOBP CLIM		-			ļ	<u>+\$150</u>		777	i	∓ \$300¤	·
			SIGNA	ATURE	OF A	PPLICANT, ATT	ГОР	RNEY, OR A		\$0		1013) ADD ( Ivo	\$
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT  FRANK C NICHOLAS  Registation No. 33,983  CARDINAL LAW GROUP  1603 Orrington Avenue, Suite 2000  Evanston, IL 60201													
Signature The Colonia							Date	Ocro	Der 4	2004			
l haret	. non 6		/			FICATE OF FAC							
		correspondence tent and Trager				d via facsimile to ite	) (7	03) 872-9315	) 			October 4 2	004
Signature Duk (1) like					44.44.4			Date.	೧೭	rober	4_2004		
FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33,983			)										

Certificate of Facsimile
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
transmitted by faciantile to (703) 872-9315 to the U.S.
Patent and Trademark Office October 4, 2004
(Date of Deposit)
FRANK C NICHOLAS (33 983)
Name of applicant, assignee or registered representative
7.4021.21
Signature
October 4, 2004
Date of Significa

PECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
OCT 0 4 2004

PATENT Case No. GB 000003 (7790/336)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re pa	itent application of:	)
	TIMOTHY J. MOULSLEY, ET AL	•
Serial I	No.: 09/631,353	) Examiner BARANYAI, L.
Filed.	AUGUST 2, 2000	) Group Art Unit: 2665
For-	RADIO COMMUNICATION SYSTEM	) )

#### **APPEAL BRIEF**

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir

Appellant herewith respectfully presents a Brief on Appeal as follows:

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 2 of 32

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Page
1.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST.	3
2.	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES.	4
3.	STATUS OF CLAIMS.	5
4.	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS.	6
5.	SUMMARY OF CLAIMED INVENTION	7
6.	GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL	10
7.	ARGUMENT	11
8.	CLAIMS APPENDIX	20
9.	EVIDENCE APPENDIX	None
10	RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX	None

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 3 of 32

#### REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., a corporation of The Netherlands having an office and a place of business at Groenewoudseweg 1, Eindhoven, Netherlands 5621 BA. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. is the ultimate parent of the assignee of record Philips Electronics North America Corporation, a Delaware corporation having an office and a place of business at 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020-1104. Philips Electronics North America Corporation intends to further assign this application to Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 4 of 32

#### 2 RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellant and the undersigned attorney are not aware of any other appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or having a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 5 of 32

#### 3. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-16 are currently pending in the present application, and are the claims on appeal. See, Claims Appendix.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 6 of 32

#### 4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

Appellant filed an final request for reconsideration under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 in response to a Final Office Action dated March 30, 2004.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 7 of 32

#### 5. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

As illustrated in FIG. 1, a base station 100 of the present application employs a microcontroller 102, a transceiver 104, a power controller 107 and an antenna 106. A secondary station 110 of the present application employs a microcontroller 112, a transceiver 114, a power controller 117 and an antenna 116. See, U.S. Parent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 4, line 8-18. For purposes of this Appeal Brief, microcontroller 102, transceiver 104 and antenna 106 constitute a base signaling means of base station 100, and power controller 107, transceiver 104 and antenna 106 constitute a base power means of base station 100. Similarly, microcontroller 112, transceiver 114 and antenna 116 constitute a secondary signaling means of each secondary station 110, and power controller 1017, transceiver 114 and antenna 116 constitute a secondary power means of each secondary station 110.

A random access packet channel allocation method as illustrated in FIG. 5 will now be described herein in the context of an interaction between a base station 100 and a secondary station 110. Please note that the base signaling means of base station 100 and the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 are the structure for performing the functions of the various 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶6 limitations recited in claims 1-10.

During a step 504, the base signaling means of base station 100 transmits a packet channel availability message AV 402 (FIG. 4), which is received by the secondary signaling means of secondary station 100. Sec., U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 109/735 151 at page 7, tines 14-27.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 8 of 32

During a step 506, the secondary signating means of secondary station 100 determines whether to repeat step 504 or to proceed to steps 508-514 based on the available channel(s) and bit rate(s) indicated by AV message 402. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 7, line 28 to page 8, line 2.

Upon a determination to proceed to steps 508-514, the secondary signaling means and the secondary power means of secondary station 110 transmits a signature encoded access preamble (P) 202 (FIG. 4) at increasing power levels until such time the secondary signaling means receives an acknowledgment (A) 206 (FIG. 4) or a negative acknowledgment (A) 206 from base station 100. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 199/735, 151 at page 8, lines 3-21.

For embodiments where several physical channels are mapped to signature encoded access preamble 202, the base signaling means of base station 100 can include a channel allocation message, in part or in whole, in a positive acknowledgement 206. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 7, lines 3-8; and page 10, line 12 to page 11, line 20.

Assuming acknowledgment 206 was positive, during a step 516, the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 transmits a signature encoded contention access preamble (P) 208 (FIG. 4) and during a step 518, awaits a contention acknowledgement (CA) 210 (FIG. 4) from the base signaling means of base station 100. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 8, line 22 to page 9, line 2.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 9 of 32

For embodiments where several physical channels are mapped to signature encoded contention access preamble 208, the base signaling means of base station 100 can include a channel allocation message, in part or in whole, in contention acknowledgement 210 and/or in a transmission of a channel code by the base signaling means of base station 100. See, US Patent Application Serial No. 09/735.151 at page 7, lines 3-8; and page 10, line 12 to page 11, line 20.

Upon receiving contention acknowledgment 210, the signaling means of the secondary station 110 will begin to transmit a data packet during a step 520 in accordance with the received channel allocation message. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 9, lines 3-10.

Thus, at one extreme, one channel allocation message is transmitted by the base signaling means of base station 100 to the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 either (1) as a complete message with acknowledgement 204, (2) as a complete message with contention acknowledgment 210, (3) as a complete message with the channel code, or (4) as a divided message among two or more of acknowledgement 204, contention acknowledgment 210 and/or the channel code.

At the other extreme, a channel allocation message is sent by the base signaling means of base station 100 to the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 in its entirety during each of acknowledgement 204, contention acknowledgment 210 and the channel code for at total of three (3) transmissions.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 10 of 32

### GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Claims 1-7, 9, and 11-15 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No 6,111,869 to Esmailzadeh et al. in view of U.S. Patent No 6,389,056 to Kanterakis et al.

Claims 8, 10 and 16 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,111,869 to Esmailzadeh et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,389,056 to Kunterakis et al. and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,643,275 to Gustafsson et al.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 11 of 32

#### 7. ARGUMENT

Esmailzadeh. Generally, Esmailzadeh teaches away from any type of contention resolution alert signal and response being communicated between a secondary station (e.g., secondary stations 110-112 shown in FIG. 1) and a primary station (e.g., base station 100 shown in FIG. 1) by devising a collision-free technique that omits a contention resolution phase after each transmission of a data transmission schedule by the primary station is received by the secondary station(s). This contention resolution free technique of Esmailzadeh relies on data transmission schedules based on orthogonal codes

Specifically, Esmailzadeh teaches "[A] method of mobile communication includes determining schedules for transmitting and receiving data, and transmitting and receiving data between a base station and a plurality of mobile terminals according to the schedules. Particular orthogonal codes are transmitted from mobile stations to the base station as alert signals indicating the presence of data to be transmitted. The base station checks whether particular orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station. When the particular orthogonal codes are detected in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile stations to the base station, data representing the detected orthogonal codes and the schedules for transmitting the data from the mobile terminals to the base station are transmitted from the base station to the

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 12 of 32

mobile terminals as an alert response in response to the alert signals. When the data representing the orthogonal codes used for the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station are contained in the alert response transmitted from the base station to the mobile terminals, the data transmission schedules in the alert response are read out at the mobile terminals and the data are transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station according the schedules that are read out.

When the data are to be transmitted and received between the base station and the mobile terminals according to the above-mentioned mobile communication method, mobile terminals which intend to transmit data select and generate particular orthogonal codes out of a plurality of orthogonal codes, and transmit these generated orthogonal codes to the base station as alert signals indicating the presence of data that are to be transmitted. Here, the orthogonal codes transmitted as alert signals from the mobile terminals to the base station are such codes that the inner products of the same codes assume particular values but the inner products of different codes assume a value of zero.

The base station that has received the alert signals generates orthogonal codes and checks whether these generated orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station. When the orthogonal codes generated by the base station are detected in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station, the base station that has received the alert signals

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 13 of 32

determines schedules for transmitting the data from the mobile terminals to the base station. Then the base station transmits, to the mobile terminals, the data representing the detected orthogonal codes and the determined schedules for transmitting data from the mobile terminals to the base station as an alert response to the alert signals.

Upon receiving the alert response, the mobile terminals compare the orthogonal codes transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station with orthogonal codes represented by the data stored in the alert response, and check if the data representing the orthogonal codes used in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station are contained in the alert response transmitted from the base station to the mobile terminals. When the data representing the orthogonal codes used by a mobile terminal us its alert signal are contained in the alert response from the base station, the data transmission schedules in the alert response are read out by the mobile terminal, and the data are transmitted from the mobile terminal to the base station according to the schedules that are read out.

According to the mobile communication method as described above, the data are transmitted and received after the schedules are determined by alert signals of orthogonal codes. Therefore, an efficient communication of data can be accomplished between the base station and the plurality of mobile terminals." See, Esmailzadeh at column 3, line 24 to column 4, line 30.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 14 of 32

The aforementioned is encompassed by steps 1101-1104 of FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh and steps 1201-1203 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh. If Esmailzadeh was to incorporate a contention resolution phase as asserted by Examiner Baranyai, then such a contention resolution phase would have to occur before step 1105 of FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh and step 1204 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh. However, Esmailzadeh was aware of the problems caused by collisions in terms of secondary station(s) having to repeatedly transmit reservation packets. See, Esmailzadeh at column 2, line to column 3, line 8.

To avoid collisions, Esmaitzadeh thus teaches "the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station are multiplied by each of a plurality of orthogonal codes generated in the base station in order to check whether particular orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals. The base station generates a plurality of orthogonal codes upon receiving alert signals from the mobile terminals, multiplies the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station by each of a plurality of orthogonal codes generated by the base station, and detects orthogonal codes in the alert signals that are received based upon the results of multiplication.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353

Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 15 of 32

When a plurality of mobile terminals simultaneously transmit alert signals to the base station, a plurality of orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals received by the base station. Here, however, the inner products of the same orthogonal codes assume particular values and the inner products of different orthogonal codes assume a value of zero. Therefore, the alert signals containing a plurality of orthogonal codes are multiplied by each of a plurality of orthogonal codes generated by the base station, and the results of multiplication are checked for each of the orthogonal codes. Even when the plurality of orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals, therefore, it is possible to check if particular orthogonal codes are contained.

According to the mobile communication method as described above, the results of multiplication of the same orthogonal codes assume particular values and the results of multiplication of different orthogonal codes assume a value of zero. This makes it possible to detect the individual alert signals even when the plurality of alert signals are transmitted from a plurality of mobile terminals to the base station." See, Esmailzadeh at column 4, lines 32-67.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No · 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 16 of 32

The benefit by Esmailzadeh in view of the capability of always being able to detect each alert signal is that a contention resolution phase is unnecessary prior to any implementation of step 1105 of FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh and step 1204 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh. Additionally, as illustrated in FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh, if no data packets are received by the primary station during a step 1204 or if there are any errors in the received data packets as detected by the primary station during a step 1206, then Esmailzadeh teaches a re-scheduling by the primary station during a step 1205 or a step 1207, respectively, that does not involve any transmission of alert signals by a secondary station during steps 1105 and 1106 shown in FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh.

Moreover, an incorporation of a contention resolution phase before step 1105 of FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh and step 1204 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh would cause a primary station to generate a contention data transmission schedule in response to the contention resolution alert signals. Thus, the secondary stations would all have the initial data transmission schedule related to the initial alert signals and the contention data transmission schedule related to the contention resolution alert signals. As such, when implementing step 1105, Esmailzadeh would have to device a scheme whereby each secondary station would have to know which of the data transmission schedules to follow to avoid any collisions that would occur if secondary stations are following different data transmission schedules. This is not efficient in view of the goals and objectives of Esmailzadeh.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 17 of 32

Thus, Esmailzadeh clearly fails to disclose and teaches away from any type of contention resolution alert signal(s) and response being communicated between the secondary station(s) and the primary station. This is indisputable in view of the facts (1) that one of Esmailzadeh's objective is avoid collisions and the repeated transmissions of alerts signals as experienced by the prior art, and (2) any incorporation in Esmailzadeh of a contention resolution alert signal and response being communicated between the secondary station(s) and the primary station would change the principle operation of Esmailzadeh directed to eliminating a need for a contention resolution phase by having the capability to detect each alert signal, which also makes a transmission of a random access channel status message from secondary stations unnecessary.

Obviousness. To establish a *prima facte* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. See, MPEP §2143.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 18 of 32

Furthermore, if the proposed modification or combination of the prior art would change the principle of operation of the prior art invention being modified, then the teachings of the references are not sufficient to render the claims *prima facie* obvious. *In re Ratti*, 270 F.2d 810, 123 USPQ 349 (CCPA 1959) (Claims were directed to an oil seal comprising a bore engaging portion with outwardly biased resilient spring fingers inserted in a resilient sealing member. The primary reference relied upon in a rejection based on a combination of references disclosed an oil seal wherein the bore engaging portion was reinforced by a cylindrical sheet metal casing. Patentee taught the device required rigidity for operation, whereas the claimed invention required resiliency. The court reversed the rejection holding the "suggested combination of references would require a substantial reconstruction and redesign of the elements shown in [the primary reference] as well as a change in the basic principle under which the [primary reference] construction was designed to operate." 270 F.2d at 813, 123 USPQ at 352.). See, MPEP \$2143.01

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 19 of 32

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, and 12. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 11 and 12, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of independent claims 1, 3, 9 and 11:

- 1. "wherein the secondary station includes means for subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature", and "wherein the primary station includes means for transmitting a further response to the contention resolution signal" as recited in independent claim 1;
- 2. "means for transmitting a further response to a subsequent contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature transmitted by the secondary station" as recited in independent claim 3;
- 3. "means for receiving a response from the primary station and subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature" as recited in independent claim 9; and

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 20 of 32

4. "the secondary station subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature", and "the primary station transmitting a further response to the contention resolution signal" as recited in independent claim 11.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unparentable over *Esmailzudeh* in view of *Kanterakis* is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 5 and 13. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kunterakis as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 5 and 13, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of claims 5 and 13:

- 1. "means for transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of the responses" as recited in dependent claim 5; and
- 2. "the primary station transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of the responses" as recited in dependent claim 13.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Senal No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 21 of 32

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 5 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 6 and 14. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 6 and 14, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of claims 6 and 14:

- 1. "means for subdividing the channel allocation signal into a plurality of portions" and "means for transmitting each of the portions at the same time as a respective one of the responses" as recited in dependent claim 6; and
- 2. "the primary station subdividing the channel allocation signaling into a plurality of portions" and "the primary station transmitting each of the portions at the same time as a respective one of the responses" as recited in dependent claim 14.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 22 of 32

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 6 and 14 under 35 U.S.C §103(a) as being unpatentable over Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 7 and 15. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 7 and 15, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of claims 7 and 15:

- "means for including the channel allocation signal as part of the or each response" as recited in dependent claim 7; and
- 2. "the primary station including the allocation signaling as part of the or each response" as recited in dependent claim 15.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 7 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis is therefore respectfully requested.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No · 09/631,353

Filed: August 2, 2000 Page 23 of 32

Claims 8, 10 and 16. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmutzadeh in view of Kanterakis and in further view of Gustafsson as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 8, 10 and 16, because Esmutzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of claims 8, 10 and 16:

- 1. "means for transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel" as recited in dependent claim 8;
- 2. "means for receiving from the primary station a random access channel status message indicating the availability of random access channel resources" and "means for using the status message as a check on the channel allocation signal before initial transmission of data" as recited in dependent claim 10; and

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 24 of 32

3. "the primary station transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel" as recited in dependent claim 16.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 8, 10 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Esmuilzadeh* in view of *Kanterakis* and in further view of *Gustafsson* is therefore respectfully requested.

Dated October 4, 2004

Respectfully submitted, TIMOTHY J. MOULSLEY, et al.

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P O. Box 3001
Briarcliff, New York 10510
(914) 333-9606

CARDINAL LAW GROUP Suite 2000

1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201 Phone: (847) 905-7111

Fax: (847) 905-7111

Jack D. Slobod Registration No. 26,236 Anomey for Appellants

Frank C. Nigholas Registration No. 33,983 Attorney for Appellants

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 25 of 32

#### CLAIMS APPENDIX

A radio communication system, comprising:

a primary station;

a secondary station;

a random access channel for the transmission of data from the secondary station to the primary station;

wherein the secondary station includes means for requesting access to a random access channel resource by transmitting a signal encoded with a first signature corresponding to the resource;

wherein the primary station includes means for transmitting a response to the request,

wherein the secondary station includes means for subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature; and

wherein the primary station includes means for transmitting a further response to the contention resolution signal, means for selecting a random access channel to which the secondary station will be granted access, and means for transmitting a channel allocation signal identifying this channel at the same time as at least one of the responses.

The system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the random access channel is adapted for transmission of data in packets.

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 26 of 32

3. A primary station for use in a radio communication system including a random access channel for the transmission of data from a secondary station to the primary station, the primary station comprising:

means for transmitting a response to a request from the secondary station for access to a random access channel resource, wherein the request includes transmission of a signal encoded with a first signature;

means for transmitting a further response to a subsequent contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature transmitted by the secondary station;

means for selecting a random access channel to which the secondary station will be granted access; and

means for transmitting a channel allocation signal identifying this channel at the same time as at least one of the responses.

- 4. The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising.
  means for transmitting a further response to a further contention resolution signal transmitted by the secondary station.
- 5. The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising:

  means for transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of
  the responses.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353

Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 27 of 32

The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising: 6. means for subdividing the channel allocation signal into a plurality of portions;

and

means for transmitting each of the portions at the same time as a respective one of the responses.

- The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising: 7. means for including the channel allocation signal as part of the or each response.
- The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising: 8. means for transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 28 of 32

9. A secondary station for use in a radio communication system including a random access channel for the transmission of data to a primary station, the secondary station comprising:

means for requesting access to a random access channel resource by transmitting a signal encoded with a first signature corresponding to the resource;

means for receiving a response from the primary station and subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature; means for receiving a further response from the primary station; and means for determining which channel has been allocated from a channel allocation signal transmitted by the primary station at the same time as at least one of the responses.

10. The secondary station as claimed in claim 9, further comprising:

means for receiving from the primary station a random access channel status

message indicating the availability of random access channel resources; and

means for using the status message as a check on the channel allocation signal

before initial transmission of data.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 29 of 32

11. A method of operating a radio communication system including a random access channel for the transmission of data from a secondary station to a primary station, the method comprising:

the secondary station requesting access to a random access channel resource by transmitting a signal encoded with a first signature corresponding to the resource;

the primary station transmitting a response to the request;

the secondary station subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature;

the primary station transmitting a further response to the contention resolution signal,

the primary station selecting a random access channel to which the secondary station will be granted access; and

the primary station transmitting a channel allocation signal identifying this channel at the same time as at least one of the responses.

The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the secondary station transmitting a further contention resolution signal and the primary station transmitting a further response.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No. 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 30 of 32

13. The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the primary station transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of the responses

14 The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the primary station subdividing the channel allocation signaling into a plurality of portions; and

the primary station transmitting each of the portions at the same time as a respective one of the responses.

- 15. The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:
- the primary station including the allocation signaling as part of the or each response.
- 16 The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the primary station transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353

Filed: August 2, 2000 Page 31 of 32

#### **EVIDENCE APPENDIX**

None.

Certificate of Factor Higgsby certify that this correspondent transmitted by factoritie to (70)	iondence is being
Patent and Trademark Office	<u> Oktober 4, 2004</u>
	(Date of Deposit)
FRANK C NICHO	LAS (33.983)
Name of applicant, assignee of	registered representative
Signatur	=
Остору	
Date of 3	Signature

PATENT Case No. GB 000003 (7790/336)

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re pa	atent application of.	)
	TIMOTHY J. MOULSLEY, ET A	L.) Examiner: BARANYAI, L.
Serial :	No.: 09/631,353	) Group Art Unit: 2665
Filed	AUGUST 2, 2000	)
For:	RADIO COMMUNICATION SYSTEM	) )

#### APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Appellant herewith respectfully presents a Brief on Appeal as follows:

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 2 of 32

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	3
2	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	4
3.	STATUS OF CLAIMS	5
4.	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS	6
<b>5</b> .	SUMMARY OF CLAIMED INVENTION.	7
6	GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL.	10
7.	ARGUMENT	
8.	CLAIMS APPENDIX	20
9.	EVIDENCE APPENDIX	None
10	RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX	None

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 3 of 32

### REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., a corporation of The Netherlands having an office and a place of business at Groenewoudseweg 1, Eindhoven, Netherlands 5621 BA. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. is the ultimate parent of the assignee of record Philips Electronics North America Corporation, a Delaware corporation having an office and a place of business at 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020-1104. Philips Electronics North America Corporation intends to further assign this application to Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed. August 2, 2000

Page 4 of 32

## 2 RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellant and the undersigned attorney are not aware of any other appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or having a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 5 of 32

## 3. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-16 are currently pending in the present application, and are the claims on appeal. See, Claims Appendix.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 6 of 32

## 4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

Appellant filed an final request for reconsideration under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 in response to a Final Office Action dated March 30, 2004

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 7 of 32

## 5. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

As illustrated in FIG. 1, a base station 100 of the present application employs a microcontroller 102, a transceiver 104, a power controller 107 and an antenna 106. A secondary station 110 of the present application employs a microcontroller 112, a transceiver 114, a power controller 117 and an antenna 116. See, U.S. Patent Application Secial No. 09/735.151 at page 4, line 8-18. For purposes of this Appeal Brief, microcontroller 102, transceiver 104 and antenna 106 constitute a base signaling means of base station 100, and power controller 107, transceiver 104 and antenna 106 constitute a base power means of base station 100. Similarly, microcontroller 112, transceiver 114 and antenna 116 constitute a secondary signaling means of each secondary station 110, and power controller 1017, transceiver 114 and antenna 116 constitute a secondary power means of each secondary station 110.

A random access packet channel allocation method as illustrated in FIG 5 will now be described herein in the context of an interaction between a base station 100 and a secondary station 110. Please note that the base signaling means of base station 100 and the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 are the structure for performing the functions of the various 35 U.S.C. §112, §6 limitations recited in claims 1-10.

During a step 504, the base signaling means of base station 100 transmits a packet channel availability message AV 402 (FIG. 4), which is received by the secondary signaling means of secondary station 100. See, US Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 7, lines 14-27.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 8 of 32

During a step 506, the secondary signating means of secondary station 100 determines whether to repeat step 504 or to proceed to steps 508-514 based on the available channel(s) and bit rate(s) indicated by AV message 402. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 7, line 28 to page 8, line 2.

Upon a determination to proceed to steps 508-514, the secondary signaling means and the secondary power means of secondary station 110 transmits a signature encoded access preamble (P) 202 (FIG. 4) at increasing power levels until such time the secondary signaling means receives an acknowledgment (A) 206 (FIG. 4) or a negative acknowledgment (A) 206 from base station 100. See, US Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 8, lines 3-21.

For embodiments where several physical channels are mapped to signature encoded access preamble 202, the base signaling means of base station 100 can include a channel allocation message, in part or in whole, in a positive acknowledgement 206. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 7, lines 3-8; and page 10, line 12 to page 11, line 20.

Assuming acknowledgment 206 was positive, during a step 516, the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 transmits a signature encoded contention access preamble (P) 208 (FIG. 4) and during a step 518, awaits a contention acknowledgement (CA) 210 (FIG. 4) from the base signaling means of base station 100. See, US Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 8, line 22 to page 9, line 2.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 9 of 32

For embodiments where several physical channels are mapped to signature encoded contention access preamble 208, the base signaling means of base station 100 can include a channel allocation message, in part or in whole, in contention acknowledgement 210 and/or in a transmission of a channel code by the base signaling means of base station 100. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 7, lines 3-8; and page 10, line 12 to page 11, line 20.

Upon receiving contention acknowledgment 210, the signaling means of the secondary station 110 will begin to transmit a data packet during a step 520 in accordance with the received channel allocation message. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 9, lines 3-10.

Thus, at one extreme, one channel allocation message is transmitted by the base signaling means of base station 100 to the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 either (1) as a complete message with acknowledgement 204, (2) as a complete message with contention acknowledgment 210, (3) as a complete message with the channel code, or (4) as a divided message among two or more of acknowledgement 204, contention acknowledgement 210 and/or the channel code.

At the other extreme, a channel allocation message is sent by the base signaling means of base station 100 to the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 in its entirety during each of acknowledgement 204, contention acknowledgment 210 and the channel code for at total of three (3) transmissions.

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353

Filed August 2, 2000 Page 10 of 32

# 6 GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Claims 1-7, 9, and 11-15 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,111,869 to Esmailzadeh et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,389,056 to Kanterakis et al.

Claims 8, 10 and 16 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,111,869 to Esmailzadeh et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,389,056 to Kanterakis et al. and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,643,275 to Gustafsson et al.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 11 of 32

#### 7. ARGUMENT

Esmailzadeh. Generally, Esmailzadeh teaches away from any type of contention resolution alert signal and response being communicated between a secondary station (e.g., secondary stations 110-112 shown in FIG. 1) and a primary station (e.g., base station 100 shown in FIG. 1) by devising a collision-free technique that omits a contention resolution phase after each transmission of a data transmission schedule by the primary station is received by the secondary station(s). This contention resolution free technique of Esmailzadeh relies on data transmission schedules based on orthogonal codes.

Specifically, Esmailzadeh teaches "[A] method of mobile communication includes determining schedules for transmitting and receiving data, and transmitting and receiving data between a base station and a plurality of mobile terminals according to the schedules. Particular orthogonal codes are transmitted from mobile stations to the base station as alert signals indicating the presence of data to be transmitted. The base station checks whether particular orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station. When the particular orthogonal codes are detected in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile stations to the base station, data representing the detected orthogonal codes and the schedules for transmitting the data from the mobile terminals to the base station are transmitted from the base station to the

Case No: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No., 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 12 of 32

representing the orthogonal codes used for the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station are contained in the alert response transmitted from the base station to the mobile terminals, the data transmission schedules in the alert response are read out at the mobile terminals and the data are transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station according the schedules that are read out.

When the data are to be transmitted and received between the base station and the mobile terminals according to the above-mentioned mobile communication method, mobile terminals which intend to transmit data select and generate particular orthogonal codes out of a plurality of orthogonal codes, and transmit these generated orthogonal codes to the base station as alert signals indicating the presence of data that are to be transmitted. Here, the orthogonal codes transmitted as alert signals from the mobile terminals to the base station are such codes that the inner products of the same codes assume particular values but the inner products of different codes assume a value of zero.

The base station that has received the alert signals generates orthogonal codes and checks whether these generated orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station. When the orthogonal codes generated by the base station are detected in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station, the base station that has received the alert signals

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353

Filed: August 2, 2000 Page 13 of 32

determines schedules for transmitting the data from the mobile terminals to the base station. Then the base station transmits, to the mobile terminals, the data representing the detected orthogonal codes and the determined schedules for transmitting data from the mobile terminals to the base station as an alert response to the alert signals.

Upon receiving the alert response, the mobile terminals compare the orthogonal codes transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station with orthogonal codes represented by the data stored in the alert response, and check if the data representing the orthogonal codes used in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station are contained in the alert response transmitted from the base station to the mobile terminals. When the data representing the orthogonal codes used by a mobile terminal as its alert signal are contained in the alert response from the base station, the data transmission schedules in the alert response are read out by the mobile terminal, and the data are transmitted from the mobile terminal to the base station according to the schedules that are read out.

According to the mobile communication method as described above, the data are transmitted and received after the schedules are determined by alert signals of orthogonal codes. Therefore, an efficient communication of data can be accomplished between the base station and the plurality of mobile terminals." See, Esmailzadeh at column 3, line 24 to column 4, line 30.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No : 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 14 of 32

The aforementioned is encompassed by steps 1101-1104 of FIG 11 of Esmailzadeh and steps 1201-1203 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh If Esmailzadeh was to incorporate a contention resolution phase as asserted by Examiner Baranyai, then such a contention resolution phase would have to occur before step 1105 of FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh and step 1204 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh. However, Esmailzadeh was aware of the problems caused by collisions in terms of secondary station(s) having to repeatedly transmit reservation packets See, Esmailzadeh at column 2, line to column 3, line 8

To avoid collisions, Esmailzadeh thus teaches "the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station are multiplied by each of a plurality of orthogonal codes generated in the base station in order to check whether particular orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals. The base station generates a plurality of orthogonal codes upon receiving alert signals from the mobile terminals, multiplies the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station by each of a plurality of orthogonal codes generated by the base station, and detects orthogonal codes in the alert signals that are received based upon the results of multiplication.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No., 09/631,353

Filed: August 2, 2000 Page 15 of 32

rage 15 dt 32

When a plurality of mobile terminals simultaneously transmit alert signals to the base station, a plurality of orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals received by the base station. Here, however, the inner products of the same orthogonal codes assume particular values and the inner products of different orthogonal codes assume a value of zero. Therefore, the alert signals containing a plurality of orthogonal codes are multiplied by each of a plurality of orthogonal codes generated by the base station, and the results of multiplication are checked for each of the orthogonal codes. Even when the plurality of orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals, therefore, it is possible to check if particular orthogonal codes are contained.

According to the mobile communication method as described above, the results of multiplication of the same orthogonal codes assume particular values and the results of multiplication of different orthogonal codes assume a value of zero. This makes it possible to detect the individual alert signals even when the plurality of alert signals are transmitted from a plurality of mobile terminals to the base station." See, Esmailzadeh at column 4, lines 32-67.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336) Serial No.: 09/631,353

Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 16 of 32

The benefit by Esmailzadeh in view of the capability of always being able to detect each alert signal is that a contention resolution phase is unnecessary prior to any implementation of step 1105 of FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh and step 1204 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh. Additionally, as illustrated in FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh, if no data packets are received by the primary station during a step 1204 or if there are any errors in the received data packets as detected by the primary station during a step 1206, then Esmailzadeh teaches a re-scheduling by the primary station during a step 1205 or a step 1207, respectively, that does not involve any transmission of alert signals by a secondary station during steps 1105 and 1106 shown in FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh.

Moreover, an incorporation of a contention resolution phase before step 1105 of FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh and step 1204 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh would cause a primary station to generate a contention data transmission schedule in response to the contention resolution alert signals. Thus, the secondary stations would all have the initial data transmission schedule related to the initial alert signals and the contention data transmission schedule related to the contention resolution alert signals. As such, when implementing step 1105, Esmailzadeh would have to device a scheme whereby each secondary station would have to know which of the data transmission schedules to follow to avoid any collisions that would occur if secondary stations are following different data transmission schedules. This is not efficient in view of the goals and objectives of Esmailzadeh.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 17 of 32

Thus, Esmailzadeh clearly fails to disclose and teaches away from any type of contention resolution alert signal(s) and response being communicated between the secondary station(s) and the primary station. This is indisputable in view of the facts (1) that one of Esmailzadeh's objective is avoid collisions and the repeated transmissions of alerts signals as experienced by the prior art, and (2) any incorporation in Esmailzadeh of a contention resolution alert signal and response being communicated between the secondary station(s) and the primary station would change the principle operation of Esmailzadeh directed to eliminating a need for a contention resolution phase by having the capability to detect each alert signal, which also makes a transmission of a random access channel status message from secondary stations unnecessary.

Obviousness. To establish a prima facte case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. See, MPEP §2143.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 18 of 32

Furthermore, if the proposed modification or combination of the prior art would change the principle of operation of the prior art invention being modified, then the teachings of the references are not sufficient to render the claims *prima facte* obvious. *In re Ratti*, 270 F 2d 810, 123 USPQ 349 (CCPA 1959) (Claims were directed to an oil seal comprising a bore engaging portion with outwardly biased resilient spring fingers inserted in a resilient sealing member. The primary reference relied upon in a rejection based on a combination of references disclosed an oil seal wherein the bore engaging portion was reinforced by a cylindrical sheet metal casing. Patentee taught the device required rigidity for operation, whereas the claimed invention required resiliency. The court reversed the rejection holding the "suggested combination of references would require a substantial reconstruction and redesign of the elements shown in [the primary reference] as well as a change in the basic principle under which the [primary reference] construction was designed to operate " 270 F 2d at 813, 123 USPQ at 352.). See, MPEP \$2143.01

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No., 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 19 of 32

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, and 12 The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 11 and 12, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of independent claims 1, 3, 9 and 11.

- 1. "wherein the secondary station includes means for subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature", and "wherein the primary station includes means for transmitting a further response to the contention resolution signal" as recited in independent claim 1;
- 2 "means for transmitting a further response to a subsequent contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature transmitted by the secondary station" as recited in independent claim 3;
- 3 "means for receiving a response from the primary station and subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature" as recited in independent claim 9; and

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 20 of 32

4 "the secondary station subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature", and "the primary station transmitting a further response to the contention resolution signal" as recited in independent claim 11.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Esmaulzadeh in view of Kanterakts is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 5 and 13. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 5 and 13, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of claims 5 and 13:

- 1. "means for transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of the responses" as recited in dependent claim 5; and
- 2. "the primary station transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of the responses" as recited in dependent claim 13.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 21 of 32

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 5 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 6 and 14. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 6 and 14, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of claims 6 and 14:

- "means for subdividing the channel allocation signal into a

  plurality of portions" and "means for transmitting each of the portions at
  the same time as a respective one of the responses" as recited in dependent
  claim 6, and
- 2. "the primary station subdividing the channel allocation signaling into a plurality of portions" and "the primary station transmitting each of the portions at the same time as a respective one of the responses" as recited in dependent claim 14.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 22 of 32

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 6 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 7 and 15. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 7 and 15, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of claims 7 and 15:

- 1. "means for including the channel allocation signal as part of the or each response" as recited in dependent claim 7; and
- 2 "the primary station including the allocation signaling as part of the or each response" as recited in dependent claim 15.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 7 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis is therefore respectfully requested.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 23 of 32

Claums 8, 10 and 16. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis and in further view of Gustafsson as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 8, 10 and 16, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of claims 8, 10 and 16:

- 1. "means for transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel" as recited in dependent claim 8;
- 2. "means for receiving from the primary station a random access
  channel status message indicating the availability of random access
  channel resources" and "means for using the status message as a check on
  the channel allocation signal before initial transmission of data" as recited
  in dependent claim 10; and

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No. 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 24 of 32

3. "the primary station transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel" as recited in dependent claim 16.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 8, 10 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis and in further view of Gustafsson is therefore respectfully requested.

Dated: October 4, 2004

Respectfully submitted, TIMOTHY J. MOULSLEY, et al.

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P O. Box 3001
Briarcliff, New York 10510
(914) 333-9606

CARDINAL LAW GROUP Suite 2000 1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201 Phone (847) 905-7111 Fax. (847) 905-7113 Jack D. Slobod Registration No. 26,236 Attorney for Appellants

Frank C. Nigholas Registration No. 33,983 Attorney for Appellants

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353

Filed August 2, 2000 Page 25 of 32

#### **CLAIMS APPENDIX**

- 1 A radio communication system, comprising:
  - a primary station;
  - a secondary station;
- a random access channel for the transmission of data from the secondary station to the primary station;

wherein the secondary station includes means for requesting access to a random access channel resource by transmitting a signal encoded with a first signature corresponding to the resource;

wherein the primary station includes means for transmitting a response to the request,

wherein the secondary station includes means for subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature; and

wherein the primary station includes means for transmitting a further response to the contention resolution signal, means for selecting a random access channel to which the secondary station will be granted access, and means for transmitting a channel allocation signal identifying this channel at the same time as at least one of the responses

2 The system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the random access channel is adapted for transmission of data in packets.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 26 of 32

3. A primary station for use in a radio communication system including a random access channel for the transmission of data from a secondary station to the primary station, the primary station comprising

means for transmitting a response to a request from the secondary station for access to a random access channel resource, wherein the request includes transmission of a signal encoded with a first signature,

means for transmitting a further response to a subsequent contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature transmitted by the secondary station;

means for selecting a random access channel to which the secondary station will be granted access; and

means for transmitting a channel allocation signal identifying this channel at the same time as at least one of the responses.

- The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising:

  means for transmitting a further response to a further contention resolution signal transmitted by the secondary station.
- The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising:

  means for transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of the responses

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 27 of 32

The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising:
 means for subdividing the channel allocation signal into a plurality of portions;

and

means for transmitting each of the portions at the same time as a respective one of the responses.

- 7. The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising:
  means for including the channel allocation signal as part of the or each response.
- 8. The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising means for transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 28 of 32

A secondary station for use in a radio communication system including a random access channel for the transmission of data to a primary station, the secondary station comprising:

means for requesting access to a random access channel resource by transmitting a signal encoded with a first signature corresponding to the resource;

means for receiving a response from the primary station and subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature;

means for receiving a further response from the primary station; and
means for determining which channel has been allocated from a channel
allocation signal transmitted by the primary station at the same time as at least one of the
responses.

10. The secondary station as claimed in claim 9, further comprising:

means for receiving from the primary station a random access channel status

message indicating the availability of random access channel resources; and

means for using the status message as a check on the channel allocation signal

before initial transmission of data.

October 4, 2004 Case No., GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 29 of 32

A method of operating a radio communication system including a random access channel for the transmission of data from a secondary station to a primary station, the method comprising:

the secondary station requesting access to a random access channel resource by transmitting a signal encoded with a first signature corresponding to the resource;

the primary station transmitting a response to the request;

the secondary station subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature;

the primary station transmitting a further response to the contention resolution signal;

the primary station selecting a random access channel to which the secondary station will be granted access; and

the primary station transmitting a channel allocation signal identifying this channel at the same time as at least one of the responses.

12. The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the secondary station transmitting a further contention resolution signal and the primary station transmitting a further response.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 30 of 32

13. The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the primary station transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of the responses.

14 The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the primary station subdividing the channel allocation signaling into a plurality of portions; and

the primary station transmitting each of the portions at the same time as a respective one of the responses.

- 15. The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:
- the primary station including the allocation signaling as part of the or each

response.

16. The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the primary station transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel.

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336) Serial No.: 09/631 353

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed. August 2, 2000 Page 31 of 32

### **EVIDENCE APPENDIX**

None.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 32 of 32

## RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.

Certificate of Facsimile
Thereby certify that this correspondence is being transpirited by facsimile to (703) 872-9315 to the U.S.
Patent and Trademark Office October 4, 2004

FRANK C. NICHOL AS (33-983)
Name of applicant, margines or registered representative

Signature

October 4, 2004

Date of Signature

PATENT Case No. GB 000003 (7790/336)

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re patent application of:		
	TIMOTHY J. MOULSLEY, ET Al	
Serial	No · 09/631,353	) Group Art Unit. 2665
Filed	AUGUST 2, 2000	) )
For:	RADIO COMMUNICATION SYSTEM	, )

## APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir

Appellant herewith respectfully presents a Brief on Appeal as follows:

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 2 of 32

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	3
2.	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	4
3.	STATUS OF CLAIMS	5
4	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS	6
5.	SUMMARY OF CLAIMED INVENTION	7
6.	GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL	10
7.	ARGUMENT	11
8.	CLAIMS APPENDIX	20
9	EVIDENCE APPENDIX	None
10	RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX	None

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 3 of 32

## REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., a corporation of The Netherlands having an office and a place of business at Groenewoudseweg 1, Eindhoven, Netherlands 5621 BA. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. is the ultimate parent of the assignee of record Philips Electronics North America Corporation, a Delaware corporation having an office and a place of business at 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020-1104. Philips Electronics North America Corporation intends to further assign this application to Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed August 2, 2000

Page 4 of 32

## 2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellant and the undersigned attorney are not aware of any other appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or having a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 5 of 32

## 3. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-16 are currently pending in the present application, and are the claims on appeal. See, Claims Appendix.

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336) Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed August 2, 2000 Page 6 of 32

### 4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

Appellant filed an final request for reconsideration under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 in response to a Final Office Action dated March 30, 2004.

T-813 P.73/98 F-331

October 4, 2004

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336) Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 7 of 32

#### 5. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

As illustrated in FIG. 1, a base station 100 of the present application employs a microcontroller 102, a transceiver 104, a power controller 107 and an antenna 106. A secondary station 110 of the present application employs a microcontroller 112, a transceiver 114, a power controller 117 and an antenna 116. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 4, line 8-18. For purposes of this Appeal Brief, microcontroller 102, transceiver 104 and antenna 106 constitute a base signaling means of base station 100, and power controller 107, transceiver 104 and antenna 106 constitute a base power means of base station 100. Similarly, microcontroller 112, transceiver 114 and antenna 116 constitute a secondary signaling means of each secondary station 110, and power controller 1017, transceiver 114 and antenna 116 constitute a secondary power means of each secondary station 110.

A random access packet channel allocation method as illustrated in FIG. 5 will now be described herein in the context of an interaction between a base station 100 and a secondary station 110. Please note that the base signaling means of base station 100 and the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 are the structure for performing the functions of the various 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶6 limitations recited in claims 1-10.

During a step 504, the base signaling means of base station 100 transmits a packet channel availability message AV 402 (FIG. 4), which is received by the secondary signaling means of secondary station 100 See, US Patent Application Serial No. 09/735 151 at page 7, lines 14-27.

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353

Filed August 2, 2000 Page 8 of 32

During a step 506, the secondary signaling means of secondary station 100 determines whether to repeat step 504 or to proceed to steps 508-514 based on the available channel(s) and bit rate(s) indicated by AV message 402. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 7, line 28 to page 8, line 2.

Upon a determination to proceed to steps 508-514, the secondary signaling means and the secondary power means of secondary station 110 transmits a signature encoded access preamble (P) 202 (FIG. 4) at increasing power levels until such time the secondary signaling means receives an acknowledgment (A) 206 (FIG. 4) or a negative acknowledgment (A) 206 from base station 100. See, US Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 8, lines 3-21.

For embodiments where several physical channels are mapped to signature encoded access preamble 202, the base signaling means of base station 100 can include a channel allocation message, in part or in whole, in a positive acknowledgement 206. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735.151 at page 7, lines 3-8; and page 10, line 12 to page 11, line 20.

Assuming acknowledgment 206 was positive, during a step 516, the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 transmits a signature encoded contention access preamble (P) 208 (FIG. 4) and during a step 518, awaits a contention acknowledgement (CA) 210 (FIG. 4) from the base signaling means of base station 100. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 8, line 22 to page 9, line 2.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 9 of 32

For embodiments where several physical channels are mapped to signature encoded contention access preamble 208, the base signaling means of base station 100 can include a channel allocation message, in part or in whole, in contention acknowledgement 210 and/or in a transmission of a channel code by the base signaling means of base station 100. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 7, lines 3-8, and page 10, line 12 to page 11, line 20.

Upon receiving contention acknowledgment 210, the signaling means of the secondary station 110 will begin to transmit a data packet during a step 520 in accordance with the received channel allocation message. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/735,151 at page 9, lines 3-10.

Thus, at one extreme, one channel allocation message is transmitted by the base signaling means of base station 100 to the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 either (1) as a complete message with acknowledgement 204, (2) as a complete message with contention acknowledgment 210, (3) as a complete message with the channel code, or (4) as a divided message among two or more of acknowledgement 204, contention acknowledgment 210 and/or the channel code.

At the other extreme, a channel allocation message is sent by the base signaling means of base station 100 to the secondary signaling means of secondary station 110 in its entirety during each of acknowledgement 204, contention acknowledgment 210 and the channel code for at total of three (3) transmissions

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 10 of 32

## 6 GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Claims 1-7, 9, and 11-15 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,111,869 to Esmailzadeh et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,389,056 to Kanterakis et al.

Claims 8, 10 and 16 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,111,869 to Esmailzadeh et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,389,056 to Kanterakis et al. and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,643,275 to Gustafsson et al.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 11 of 32

#### ARGUMENT

Esmulzadeh. Generally, Esmulzadeh teaches away from any type of contention resolution alert signal and response being communicated between a secondary station (e.g., base station 100 shown in FIG. 1) by devising a collision-free technique that omits a contention resolution phase after each transmission of a data transmission schedule by the primary station is received by the secondary station(s). This contention resolution free technique of Esmulzadeh relies on data transmission schedules based on orthogonal codes.

Specifically, Esmailzadeh teaches "[A] method of mobile communication includes determining schedules for transmitting and receiving data, and transmitting and receiving data between a base station and a plurality of mobile terminals according to the schedules. Particular orthogonal codes are transmitted from mobile stations to the base station as alert signals indicating the presence of data to be transmitted. The base station checks whether particular orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station. When the particular orthogonal codes are detected in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile stations to the base station, data representing the detected orthogonal codes and the schedules for transmitting the data from the mobile terminals to the base station are transmitted from the base station to the

OCT-04-04 04:41PM FROM-CLG FAX

T-813 P.78/98 F-331

October 4, 2004

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 12 of 32

mobile terminals as an alert response in response to the alert signals. When the data

representing the orthogonal codes used for the alert signals transmitted from the mobile

terminals to the base station are contained in the alert response transmitted from the base

station to the mobile terminals, the data transmission schedules in the alert response are

read out at the mobile terminals and the data are transmitted from the mobile terminals to

the base station according the schedules that are read out.

When the data are to be transmitted and received between the base station and the

mobile terminals according to the above-mentioned mobile communication method,

mobile terminals which intend to transmit data select and generate particular orthogonal

codes out of a plurality of orthogonal codes, and transmit these generated orthogonal

codes to the base station as afert signals indicating the presence of data that are to be

transmitted. Here, the orthogonal codes transmitted as alert signals from the mobile

terminals to the base station are such codes that the inner products of the same codes

assume particular values but the inner products of different codes assume a value of zero.

The base station that has received the alert signals generates orthogonal codes and

checks whether these generated orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals

transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station. When the orthogonal codes

generated by the base station are detected in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile

terminals to the base station, the base station that has received the alert signals

PAGE 78/98 \* RCVD AT 10/4/2004 5:25:58 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/2 \* DNIS:8729315 \* CSID: \* DURATION (mm-ss):18-28

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 13 of 32

determines schedules for transmitting the data from the mobile terminals to the base

station. Then the base station transmits, to the mobile terminals, the data representing the

detected orthogonal codes and the determined schedules for transmitting data from the

mobile terminals to the base station as an alert response to the alert signals.

Upon receiving the alert response, the mobile terminals compare the orthogonal

codes transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station with orthogonal codes

represented by the data stored in the alert response, and check if the data representing the

orthogonal codes used in the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the

base station are contained in the alert response transmitted from the base station to the

mobile terminals. When the data representing the orthogonal codes used by a mobile

terminal as its alert signal are contained in the alert response from the base station, the

data transmission schedules in the alert response are read out by the mobile terminal, and

the data are transmitted from the mobile terminal to the base station according to the

schedules that are read out.

According to the mobile communication method as described above, the data are

transmitted and received after the schedules are determined by alert signals of orthogonal

codes. Therefore, an efficient communication of data can be accomplished between the

base station and the plurality of mobile terminals." See, Esmailzadeh at column 3, line

24 to column 4, line 30.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 14 of 32

The aforementioned is encompassed by steps 1101-1104 of FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh and steps 1201-1203 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh. If Esmailzadeh was to incorporate a contention resolution phase as asserted by Examiner Baranyai, then such a contention resolution phase would have to occur before step 1105 of FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh and step 1204 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh. However, Esmailzadeh was aware of the problems caused by collisions in terms of secondary station(s) having to repeatedly transmit reservation packets. See, Esmailzadeh at column 2, line to column 3, line 8.

To avoid collisions, Esmailzadeh thus teaches "the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station are multiplied by each of a plurality of orthogonal codes generated in the base station in order to check whether particular orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals. The base station generates a plurality of orthogonal codes upon receiving alert signals from the mobile terminals, multiplies the alert signals transmitted from the mobile terminals to the base station by each of a plurality of orthogonal codes generated by the base station, and detects orthogonal codes in the alert signals that are received based upon the results of multiplication.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 15 of 32

When a plurality of mobile terminals simultaneously transmit alert signals to the

base station, a plurality of orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals received by

the base station. Here, however, the inner products of the same orthogonal codes assume

particular values and the inner products of different orthogonal codes assume a value of

zero. Therefore, the alert signals containing a plurality of orthogonal codes are multiplied

by each of a plurality of orthogonal codes generated by the base station, and the results of

multiplication are checked for each of the orthogonal codes. Even when the plurality of

orthogonal codes are contained in the alert signals, therefore, it is possible to check if

particular orthogonal codes are contained.

According to the mobile communication method as described above, the results

of multiplication of the same orthogonal codes assume particular values and the results of

multiplication of different orthogonal codes assume a value of zero. This makes it

possible to detect the individual alert signals even when the plurality of alert signals are

transmitted from a plurality of mobile terminals to the base station." See, Esmailzadeh at

column 4, lines 32-67.

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336) Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed August 2, 2000

Page 16 of 32

The benefit by Esmailzadeh in view of the capability of always being able to detect each alert signal is that a contention resolution phase is unnecessary prior to any implementation of step 1105 of FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh and step 1204 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh. Additionally, as illustrated in FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh, if no data packets are received by the primary station during a step 1204 or if there are any errors in the received data packets as detected by the primary station during a step 1206, then Esmailzadeh teaches a re-scheduling by the primary station during a step 1205 or a step 1207, respectively, that does not involve any transmission of alert signals by a secondary

station during steps 1105 and 1106 shown in FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh.

Moreover, an incorporation of a contention resolution phase before step 1105 of FIG. 11 of Esmailzadeh and step 1204 of FIG. 12 of Esmailzadeh would cause a primary station to generate a contention data transmission schedule in response to the contention resolution alert signals. Thus, the secondary stations would all have the initial data transmission schedule related to the initial alert signals and the contention data transmission schedule related to the contention resolution alert signals. As such, when implementing step 1105, Esmailzadeh would have to device a scheme whereby each secondary station would have to know which of the data transmission schedules to follow to avoid any collisions that would occur if secondary stations are following different data transmission schedules. This is not efficient in view of the goals and objectives of Esmailzadeh.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 17 of 32

Thus, Esmailzadeh clearly fails to disclose and teaches away from any type of contention resolution alert signal(s) and response being communicated between the secondary station(s) and the primary station. This is indisputable in view of the facts (1) that one of Esmailzadeh's objective is avoid collisions and the repeated transmissions of alerts signals as experienced by the prior art, and (2) any incorporation in Esmailzadeh of a contention resolution alert signal and response being communicated between the secondary station(s) and the primary station would change the principle operation of Esmailzadeh directed to eliminating a need for a contention resolution phase by having the capability to detect each alert signal, which also makes a transmission of a random

Obviousness. To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. See, MPEP §2143.

access channel status message from secondary stations unnecessary

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336) Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000 Page 18 of 32

Furthermore, if the proposed modification or combination of the prior art would change the principle of operation of the prior art invention being modified, then the teachings of the references are not sufficient to render the claims *prima facie* obvious. *In re Ratu*, 270 F.2d 810, 123 USPQ 349 (CCPA 1959) (Claims were directed to an oil seal comprising a bore engaging portion with outwardly biased resilient spring fingers inserted in a resilient sealing member. The primary reference relied upon in a rejection based on a combination of references disclosed an oil seal wherein the bore engaging portion was reinforced by a cylindrical sheet metal casing. Patentee taught the device required rigidity for operation, whereas the claimed invention required resiliency. The court reversed the rejection holding the "suggested combination of references would require a substantial reconstruction and redesign of the elements shown in [the primary reference] as well as a change in the basic principle under which the [primary reference] construction was designed to operate." 270 F.2d at 813, 123 USPQ at 352.). See, MPEP §2143.01

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 19 of 32

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, and 12. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 11 and 12, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of independent claims 1, 3, 9 and 11.

- transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature", and "wherein the primary station includes means for transmitting a further response to the contention resolution signal" as recited in independent claim 1;
- 2. "means for transmitting a further response to a subsequent contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature transmitted by the secondary station" as recited in independent claim 3;
- 3. "means for receiving a response from the primary station and subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature" as recited in independent claim 9; and

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336) Serial No.: 09/631,353

Filed: August 2, 2000 Page 20 of 32

4. "the secondary station subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature", and "the primary station transmitting a further response to the contention resolution signal" as recited in independent claim 11.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Esmulzadeh* in view of *Kanterakis* is therefore respectfully requested

Claims 5 and 13. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 5 and 13, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of claims 5 and 13:

- 1. "means for transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of the responses" as recited in dependent claim 5, and
- 2. "the primary station transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of the responses" as recited in dependent claim 13.

Case No : GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 21 of 32

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 5 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 6 and 14. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or

motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis as asserted by Examiner

Buranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 6 and 14, because Esmailzadeh

teaches away from the following limitations of claims 6 and 14:

1. "ineans for subdividing the channel allocation signal into a

plurality of portions" and "means for transmitting each of the portions at

the same time as a respective one of the responses" as recited in dependent

claim 6, and

2 the primary station subdividing the channel allocation signating

into a plurality of portions" and "the primary station transmitting each of

the portions at the same time as a respective one of the responses" as

recited in dependent claim 14.

October 4, 2004 Case No - GB 000003 (7790/336)

> Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 22 of 32

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 6 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Esmulzudeh in view of Kanterakis is therefore respectfully requested

Claims 7 and 15. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or

motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis as asserted by Examiner

Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 7 and 15, because Esmailzadeh

teaches away from the following limitations of claims 7 and 15:

1. "means for including the channel allocation signal as part of the or

each response" as recited in dependent claim 7; and

2 "the primary station including the allocation signaling as part of

the or each response" as recited in dependent claim 15.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 7 and 15 under 35 U S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis is therefore respectfully requested.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Scrial No : 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 23 of 32

Claums 8, 10 and 16. The Appellant respectfully asserts that is no suggestion or motivation to modify Esmailzadeh in view of Kanterakis and in further view of Gustafsson as asserted by Examiner Baranyai to render obvious the limitations of claims 8, 10 and 16, because Esmailzadeh teaches away from the following limitations of claims 8, 10 and 16:

- impeans for transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel" as recited in dependent claim 8;
- 2 "means for receiving from the primary station a random access
  channel status message indicating the availability of random access
  channel resources" and "means for using the status message as a check on
  the channel allocation signal before initial transmission of data" as recited
  in dependent claim 10; and

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336) Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 24 of 32

3 "the primary station transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel" as recited in dependent claim 16.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 8, 10 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Esmailzadeh* in view of *Kanterakis* and in further view of *Gustafsson* is therefore respectfully requested.

Dated October 4, 2004

Respectfully submitted, TIMOTHY J. MOULSLEY, et al.

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P.O. Box 3001
Briarcliff, New York 10510
(914) 333-9606

CARDINAL LAW GROUP Suite 2000 1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201 Phone (847) 905-7111 Fax: (847) 905-7113 Jack D. Slobod Registration No. 26,236 Attorney for Appellants

Frank C. Nigholas
Registration No. 33,983
Attorney for Appellants

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No., 09/631,353

Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 25 of 32

CLAIMS APPENDIX

1 A radio communication system, comprising:

a primary station,

a secondary station;

a random access channel for the transmission of data from the secondary station

to the primary station;

wherein the secondary station includes means for requesting access to a random

access channel resource by transmitting a signal encoded with a first signature

corresponding to the resource,

wherein the primary station includes means for transmitting a response to the

request;

wherein the secondary station includes means for subsequently transmitting a

contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature; and

wherein the primary station includes means for transmitting a further response to

the contention resolution signal, means for selecting a random access channel to which

the secondary station will be granted access, and means for transmitting a channel

allocation signal identifying this channel at the same time as at least one of the responses

2. The system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the random access channel is adapted

for transmission of data in packets.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336) Serial No · 09/631,353

Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 26 of 32

3. A primary station for use in a radio communication system including a random access channel for the transmission of data from a secondary station to the primary station, the primary station comprising.

means for transmitting a response to a request from the secondary station for access to a random access channel resource, wherein the request includes transmission of a signal encoded with a first signature,

means for transmitting a further response to a subsequent contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature transmitted by the secondary station;

means for selecting a random access channel to which the secondary station will be granted access, and

means for transmitting a channel allocation signal identifying this channel at the same time as at least one of the responses

- The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising:

  means for transmitting a further response to a further contention resolution signal transmitted by the secondary station.
- The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising:

  means for transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of
  the responses

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 27 of 32

The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising means for subdividing the channel allocation signal into a plurality of portions;

and

means for transmitting each of the portions at the same time as a respective one of the responses

- 7. The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising:
  means for including the channel allocation signal as part of the or each response
- The primary station as claimed in claim 3, further comprising:

  means for transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 28 of 32

9. A secondary station for use in a radio communication system including a random access channel for the transmission of data to a primary station, the secondary station comprising:

means for requesting access to a random access channel resource by transmitting a signal encoded with a first signature corresponding to the resource,

means for receiving a response from the primary station and subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal encoded with a second signature;

means for receiving a further response from the primary station; and means for determining which channel has been allocated from a channel allocation signal transmitted by the primary station at the same time as at least one of the responses.

10. The secondary station as claimed in claim 9, further comprising:
means for receiving from the primary station a random access channel status
message indicating the availability of random access channel resources; and
means for using the status message as a check on the channel allocation signal
before initial transmission of data.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 29 of 32

11. A method of operating a radio communication system including a random access

channel for the transmission of data from a secondary station to a primary station, the

method comprising:

the secondary station requesting access to a random access channel resource by

transmitting a signal encoded with a first signature corresponding to the resource;

the primary station transmitting a response to the request;

the secondary station subsequently transmitting a contention resolution signal

encoded with a second signature;

the primary station transmitting a further response to the contention resolution

signal,

the primary station selecting a random access channel to which the secondary

station will be granted access; and

the primary station transmitting a channel allocation signal identifying this

channel at the same time as at least one of the responses.

12. The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the secondary station transmitting a further contention resolution signal and the

primary station transmitting a further response.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No 09/631,353 Filed: August 2, 2000

Page 30 of 32

13. The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the primary station transmitting the channel allocation signal at the same time as each of the responses.

14. The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the primary station subdividing the channel allocation signaling into a plurality of portions; and

the primary station transmitting each of the portions at the same time as a respective one of the responses.

15. The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the primary station including the allocation signaling as part of the or each response.

16. The method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising:

the primary station transmitting a random access channel status message indicating the highest data rate available on the random access channel.

Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336)

Serial No.: 09/631,353 Filed August 2, 2000

Page 31 of 32

### **EVIDENCE APPENDIX**

None.

October 4, 2004 Case No.: GB 000003 (7790/336) Serial No : 09/631,353 Filed August 2, 2000 Page 32 of 32

# RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.